Completeness

Outline 1) Completeness 2) Ancillarity 3) Basis Theorem Completeness

Def T(x) is complete for P= [P:060]  $if \quad E_0 f(\tau(x)) = 0$ AO  $\Rightarrow$  f(T) = 0 40 Name comes from a prior notion that  $\mathcal{P}^{T} = \{\mathcal{P}^{T}: \Theta \in \Theta\}$  is "complete basis" with inner product  $\langle f, \mathcal{P}^{T}_{\Theta} \rangle = \int f(t) d\mathcal{P}^{T}_{\Theta}(t) \int (see Hw 3)$ Ex. (Contid) Laplace location family has minimal suff stat.  $S = (X_{(i)})_{i=1}^{n}$ . Complete? No: Let M(s) = median(x) $\overline{X}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E} X_i$  $E_{0}\overline{X} = E_{0}M = \Theta (b_{y} symmetry)$  $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta}\left[\overline{X}(s) - M(s)\right] = O \quad \forall \Theta$ S(x) still has "a lot of extra flut"

$$E \times X_{1,...,X_{n}} \stackrel{iid}{\longrightarrow} U[0,0] \quad \Theta \in (0,\infty)$$
Can show  $T(x) = X_{(n)}$  min. suff. Complete?  
Find density of  $T(x)$ :  

$$P_{\Theta}(T \leq t) = \left(\frac{t}{\Theta} \wedge 1\right)^{n} = \left(\frac{t}{\Theta}\right)^{n} \wedge 1$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{\Theta}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} P_{\Theta}(T \leq t)$$

$$= n \frac{t^{n-1}}{\Theta^{n}} - 1 \leq t \leq 0 \leq 0$$

Suppose 
$$0 = E_0 f(\tau)$$
  $\forall \theta > 0$   
 $= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \int_0^{\theta} f(t) t^{n-1} dt \quad \forall \theta > 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \int_0^{\theta} f(t) t^{n-1} dt = 0 \quad \forall \theta > 0$   
 $\Rightarrow f(t) t^{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } t > 0$ 

Def Assume 
$$P = \{P_{2} : 2 \in \Xi\}$$
 has densities  
 $p_{2}(x) = e^{\gamma T(x) - A(x)} h(x)$   
If  $T(x)$  satisfies no linear constraint  $\binom{Z}{P^{T}(x)} e^{x} n$   
and  $\Xi$  contains an open set, we say  
 $S$  is full-mak  
If  $S$  is not full-rank we say it is curved  
[Note: If  $T(x)$  satisfies linear constraint, then  
 $S$  might still be full-rank for a lower-dim.  
sufficient statisfie]  
Proof in Lehaun & Romme, The 4.81  
Theorem If  $S$  is full rank then  
 $T(x)$  is complete sufficient  
Proof idea wlay  $T(x) = x$ ,  $p_{1}(x) = e^{\gamma (x - A(x))}$ ,  $O \in \Xi^{0}$   
Write  $f(x) = f^{+}(x) - f^{-}(x)$ , for  $f^{+}, f^{-} \ge 0$   
 $Se^{\gamma x} f^{+}(x) dm(x) = Se^{\gamma x} f^{-}(x) dm(x)$   
MGF for  $\gamma^{+} \sim \frac{f^{+}(x)}{S^{+}dm}$   
Uniqueness of MGFs  $\Rightarrow \gamma^{+} \cong \gamma - \Rightarrow f^{+} as f^{-}$ 



T(X) definitely complete for (A) Maybe not for (B),(C)

Theorem If 
$$T(x)$$
 complete sufficient  
for  $\mathcal{G}$  then  $T(x)$  is minimal  
(rance plus for completeness proofs: show two things are  
a.s. equal by showing they have expectation.  
Proof Assume  $S(x)$  is minimal suff  
Let  $\overline{T}(S(x)) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}\left[T(x) \mid S(x)\right]$   
(Let  $\overline{T}(S(x)) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} T(x)$   
We have  $S(x) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} T(x)$   
(S minimal suff)  
Let  $g(t) = t - \overline{T}(f(t))$   
 $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}\left[g(T(x))\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}T(x) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}\left[\overline{T}(S(x))\right]$   
 $= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}T(x) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}\left[\mathbb{E}[T|S]\right]$   
 $= O$   
 $\Rightarrow g(T(x)) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} O$  (completeness)

Ancillarity

Two reasons to care about completeness: i) Uniqueness of unbiased estimators using T If  $E_0 S_1(T) = E_0 S_2(T) = g(0)$ ,  $\forall \theta \in \Theta$ Then  $E_0[S_1 - S_2] = 0 \Rightarrow S_1 \stackrel{a.s.}{=} S_2$ [We will explore this further next time] 2) Basis theorem: next way to show independence Def V(X) is <u>ancillary</u> for  $P_{-}[P_0: \Theta \in \Theta]$ if its distribution does not depend  $O = O = (V \text{ carries no info. about } \Theta)$ 

## Basu's Theorem

Theorem (Basn) If T(X) is complete sufficient and V(X) is ancillary for S, then  $V(x) \perp T(x)$  for all  $\Theta \in \Theta$ Proof Want IP(VEA, TEB) = IP(VEA) IP(TEB) all A, B, O Let  $q_A(T(x)) = P_A(V \in A | T)$ PA = Pro(VeA)  $\mathbb{E}_{\Theta}[q_A(T) - \rho_A] = \rho_A - \rho_A = 0, \forall \Theta$  $\implies q_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau) \stackrel{q.s.}{=} \rho_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \forall \Theta$  $P_{A}(V \in A, T \in B) = \int q_{A}(t) 1 \{t \in B\} dP_{O}(t)$  $= \rho_{A} \int 1_{\{t \in B\}} dP_{\Theta}^{T}(t)$ =  $P(V \in A) \prod_{0} (T \in B) \boxtimes$ 

Using Basu's Theorem

Ancillarity, Completeness, Sufficiency are all properties with a family P Independence is a property of a distribution If you can't verify the this hypotheses for one family, try a different family!  $\underline{E_X} \cdot X_{1,\dots,X_n} \stackrel{\text{id}}{\sim} N(n,\sigma^2) \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 > 0$ Sample mean  $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \stackrel{\frown}{\underset{i=1}{\overset{\frown}{\sum}} X_i$ Sample Variance  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2$ Want to show X 11 S? But neither stat. is ancillary or sufficient in the full famil with M, or unknown y To apply Basa, use family with of known:  $\mathcal{P} = \{ N(m, \sigma^2)^n : m \in \mathbb{R} \}$ 

In  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\overline{X}$  is complete sufficient and  $S^2$  is ancillary since  $S^2 = \sum (\overline{z_i} - \overline{z})^2$  for  $\overline{z_i} = X_i - M \stackrel{id}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ Therefore  $\overline{X} \perp L S^2$ Conclusion has nothing to do with "Enown" or "unlenown" parameters ]