Outline

D Hierarchical Bayes <sup>2</sup> Markov Chain Monte Carlo <sup>3</sup> Gibbs Sampler

Hierarchical Bayes  
\nFinally power of Bayes is realized in large,  
\ncomplex problems with repeat structure,  
\nallowing us to pool information across  
\nmay observations.]  
\n  
\nEx Predict a belief "true"圆ting weing  
\nfrom ni at-bats. X: # of hits vBinom(n; 0;  
\n
$$
P_{col} \text{info} \text{acios} p \text{layers} i=1, ..., m \text{win hierarchical model}
$$
\n
$$
\alpha, \beta \sim \lambda_o(\alpha, \beta)
$$
\n
$$
\theta_i/g_i \text{B} \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta) \text{ism}
$$
\n
$$
\chi_i' \theta_i' \text{Beta} \text{Binom}(n_i, \theta_i) \text{ism}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\theta_i}{\lambda_i} + \frac{\lambda_i' \theta_i'}{\lambda_i} \frac{\sin \theta_i \theta_i}{\lambda_i + \frac{\lambda_i' \theta_i'}{\lambda_i}} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\theta_i' \lambda_i' \text{Binom}(n_i, \theta_i)}{\lambda_i + \frac{\lambda_i' \theta_i'}{\lambda_i}} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\theta_i' \lambda_i' \text{Span}(n_i, \theta_i)}{\lambda_i + \frac{\lambda_i' \theta_i'}{\lambda_i}} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\theta_i' \lambda_i' \text{Span}(n_i, \theta_i')}{\lambda_i' + \frac{\lambda_i' \theta_i'}{\lambda_i}} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\text{Infinite: Use all X...X on equivalent model where we\nmanjialise over  $\alpha, \beta$  and just write a non-  
\ntoable to infinite or computation of strategies 3.
$$

Gaussian Hierarchical Model:

$$
\tau^{2} \sim \lambda_{o}
$$
  
\n
$$
\theta_{i} | \tau^{2} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(o, \tau^{2}) \qquad i \in J
$$
  
\n
$$
X_{i} | \tau^{2} \theta \stackrel{\text{iid.}}{\sim} N(o_{i}, 1)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\delta(x_i) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\theta_i \mid x\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\theta_i \mid x, \tau^2\right] \mid x\right\} \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau^2} x_i \mid x\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\tau^2}{1+\tau^2} \mid x\right] \cdot X_i\n\end{aligned}
$$

Linear shrinkage estimate,

\nBayes-optimal shrindage estimated from data

\nLikelihood for 
$$
\tau^2
$$
:  $magnalize over \Theta_i$ 

\n $X_i$   $l\tau^2 \sim N(0, l+\tau^2)$ 

\n $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{d} ||X||^2 \sim \frac{l+\tau^2}{d} X_d^2$ 

\n $\sim \left(l+\tau^2, \frac{2+2\tau^2}{d}\right)$  notation

Define  $\zeta(\tau^2) = \frac{1}{1+\tau^2}$  "amount of shrinkage"  $\Rightarrow \exists (x) = (1 - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[x \mid x]}) X_i$ learned from entire data set  $X(S \sim N_d(o, \frac{1}{5}I_d) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{-\|X\|^2/(2/5)}$ 

$$
\propto_{\xi}^{\xi} \xi^{d/2} e^{-\xi \|x\|^2/2}
$$

\n
$$
\zeta_{\alpha} \wedge \frac{1}{s^2} \chi_{k}^{3} = \Gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{2}{s}) = \frac{(s^3)^{k/2}}{\Gamma(k)}
$$
\n

\n\n $\zeta_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{s^2} \chi_{k}^{3} = \frac{1}{s^2} \left( \frac{k}{2}, \frac{2}{s^2} \right) = \frac{(s^3)^{k/2}}{\Gamma(k)}$ \n

$$
\Rightarrow \zeta | ||x||^{2} \propto \zeta \frac{1}{s^{2}+||x||^{2}} - 1 e^{-\frac{(s^{2}+||x||^{2})^{2}}{2}}
$$

$$
E[S \mid ||x||^{2}] = \frac{1}{2^{2} + ||x||^{2}} \approx d(1 + \tau^{2}) + O(d^{\frac{1}{2}})
$$

$$
Psendo-dat-\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nmigh+ & \text{Wont} + b & \text{truncated} & \text{prior to } [0, 1] \\
\text{min of} & \text{if } d & \text{small } ]\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
$$



These are directed graphical models. Imples  
\nthe distribution may be factored with one  
\nfactor for each vertex in a DAG (v, E)  
\n
$$
\rho(z_1, ..., z_{|v|}) = \prod_{i=1}^{|V|} \rho_i(z_i | z_{R(i)})
$$
\nFor this model,  
\n
$$
\rho(z^2, \theta_1, ..., \theta_m, X_1, ..., X_m)
$$
\n
$$
= \rho(z^2) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} \rho(i, z^2) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} \rho(x_i | \theta_i)
$$

Markov Chain Monk Carlo

Hiera *cal models* can get very complex *very* 
$$
fest
$$
.

\n $Creetig$   $bij$  *computational*  $headaches$ 

\n $\lambda(\theta/x) = \int_{\Omega} (\alpha) \lambda(\theta) \leq$  usually nice  $\int_{\Omega} \beta(s) \lambda(\theta) dS$ 

Computational strategy: set up a Markov chain with stationary disk of 
$$
\rho_{\theta}(x) \lambda(\theta)
$$
, run it to get approximate samples from  $\lambda(\theta | x)$ 

Definition: A (stationary) Markov chain with 
$$
trans.
$$

\nkernel  $Q(y|x)$  and initial dist.  $\pi_o(x)$  is a sequence of r.v.s  $X^{(o)} \times Y^{(o)}$ , where  $X^{(o)} \times \pi_o$ 

\nand  $X^{(t+1)} \mid X^{(o)}, X^{(t)} \sim Q(\cdot | X^{(t)})$ 

\n $Q(y|x) = \mathbb{P}(X^{(t+1)} = y \mid X^{(t)} = x)$ 

\nMarquend dist. of  $X^{(0)}$ :

\n $\pi_i(y) = \mathbb{P}(X^{(i)} = y) = \int_X Q(y|x) \pi_o(x) \, dx$ 

\nThis is a directed graphical model:

\n $(X^{(i)}) \rightarrow (X^{(i)}) \rightarrow (X^{$ 

If 
$$
\pi(y) = \int_{R} Q(y|x) \pi(x) d\mu(x)
$$
 we say  $\pi$ 

\nis a stationary distribution for  $Q$ 

\nSufficient condition is defined binomial

\nSubstituting the  $\pi(x) Q(y|x) = \pi(y) Q(x|y) \quad \forall x, y$ 

\n $\Rightarrow \int_{R} Q(y|x) \pi(x) d\mu(x) = \pi(y) \int_{R} Q(x|y) d\mu(x) = \pi(y)$ 

\nAt  $M$ -below chain with defined boundary

\nAt  $M$ -below chain with defined boundary

\n $\pi(x^m, x^m) \triangle (x^m, x^m) \triangle (x^m, x^m)$  if  $\pi_0 = \pi$ 

\n $\pi(x^m, x^m) = \frac{\pi(x^m, x^m) \cdot \pi(x^m, x^m)}{\pi(x^m, x^m)} = \frac{\pi(x) Q(y|x)}{\pi(y)}$ 

\nIt does not include:  $\forall x, y \exists n : \rho(x^m, x^m) \times \pi(x^m, x^m) \ge \pi(x^m, x^m)$ 

\nIt does not include:  $\forall x, y \exists n : \rho(x^m, x^m) \times \pi(x^m, x^m) \ge \pi$ 

\nThen  $\mathcal{L}(x^m) \triangleq \pi$  (in  $\pi \vee \text{diam}^m$ ),  $\pi_0$  (in  $\pi \vee \text{diam}^m$ ),  $\pi_0$ 

\nProof. *beyned* single of  $\pi_0$  (chain "forgels"  $\pi_0$ )

\nProof. *beyned* single of  $\pi_0$  (chain "forgels"  $\pi_0$ )

\nHint. *Storder* of the strong

\nHint. *Storder* of the the

\nHint. *Storder* of the 

Gibbs sompler Parameter vector  $\Theta = (\Theta_{y}, \Theta_{d})$ Algorithm  $I_n$ itialize  $\theta = \Theta^{(0)}$ For  $t = 1, ..., T$ : For  $j = 1, ..., d$ : Sample  $\Theta_{j} \sim \lambda(\Theta_{j} | \Theta_{ij} \times \})$   $\left\{\begin{matrix} x \\ y \end{matrix}\right\}$ Record  $\theta^{(t)} = \Theta$ Veriations on  $(*)$ : Update one random coordinate  $J^{\prime\prime}$  Unit {0, ..., d Update coordinates in random order Advantage for hierarchical priors only need to sample low dimensional conditional dists  $\lambda(\theta_i | \theta_{ij} | X) \propto \rho(\theta_i | \theta_{i(i)} ) \cdot \pi_{i(i \in R(i)} \rho(\theta_i | \theta_{i(i)})$ Especially easy it using conjugate priors at all levels, often can be parallelized.



MCMC in Practice In theory: Pick any initialization  $\theta^{(0)}$  and valid kernel<br> $Q_1$  sample long enough map  $\theta^{(4)}$   $\approx \lambda(\theta | x)$ Do it sysin N more times mus N samples from NOIx) In practice, how do we know we've sampled long enough? Trace plots: Show how fast the MC mixes  $GOOD$   $(?)$  $B A D$  $GRE4T$ Can be deceived! Esp. for bimodal posterior  $\hat{\lambda}(\theta)$  (x)  $\Theta$ R<sub>thinning</sub><br>makes sandepentent Estimate posterior based  $B^{\text{un-}}$ on  $\{ \theta_i^{(g)}, \theta_j^{(g+s)}, \dots, \theta_i^{(g+1)s} \}$  $45.5$ initialization  $P_{\text{o s}}$ terior mean:  $\frac{N}{N+1}\sum_{k=0}^{N}\theta_{s}^{(B+ks)}$   $\mathbb{E}[\theta_{s} | X]$ 

Implementation details make!

\n
$$
\theta_{1,} \theta_{2} \stackrel{ind.}{\sim} N(0, 1)
$$
\n
$$
X_{i}^{j} \theta_{i} \stackrel{ind.}{\sim} N(\theta_{i} + \theta_{2, i}) \stackrel{i=1, ..., n}{\rightarrow}
$$
\n
$$
\theta_{\left(\frac{\theta_{2}}{X}\right)} \sim N_{i} \left( 0, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\theta(\overline{X} \sim N_{2} \left( m^{\left(\overline{X}\right)}, \Sigma(X) \right)
$$
\n
$$
m(\overline{X}) = {i \choose i} (2 + \frac{1}{4})^{-1} \overline{X} = \frac{n \overline{X}}{2n+1} {i \choose i}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{n+1}{2n+1} \left( \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{n}{2n+1} \right)
$$



Gilbs these  
line to mix  

$$
6
$$

Empirically

Back to Gaussian hierarchical model

$$
\frac{1}{d}||x||^{2} \sim \frac{1+\tau^{2}}{d} \chi^{2}_{d} \qquad \qquad \frac{1+\tau^{2}}{d} \chi^{2}_{s}
$$
\n
$$
\sim (1+\tau^{2}, \frac{2+2\tau^{2}}{d}) \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{3}||x||^{2}
$$
\nFor any "cessonable" prior,  $E[S|X] \approx \frac{d}{||x||^{2}}$ 

$$
\hat{\Theta}_{\hat{c}} \approx (1-\frac{d}{\|x\|^2})X_{\hat{c}} \approx (1-\hat{S})X_{\hat{c}}
$$

If 
$$
\rho^{rior}
$$
 doesn't and  $\rho^{rior}$  does not have  $\rho^{rior}$  can't have  $\rho^{rior}$  can  $\rho^{rstr}$  can  $\rho^{rstr}$  can  $\rho^{rstr}$  can  $\rho^{rstr}$  is  $\rho^{rstr}$  and  $\rho^{rstr}$  is  $\rho^{rstr}$  and  $\rho^{rstr}$  is  $\rho^{rstr}$  and  $\rho^{rstr}$  is  $\rho^{rstr}$ 

Called EmpiricalBayest <sup>a</sup> hybrid approach in which hyper parameters treated as fixed others treated as random