Student ID (NOT your name):

Final Examination: QUESTION BOOKLET

Prof. Will Fithian

Fall 2024

- Do *NOT* open this question booklet until you are told to do so.
- Write your Student ID number (NOT your name) at the top of this page.
- Write your solutions in this booklet.
- No electronic devices are allowed during the exam.
- Be neat! If we can't read it, we can't grade it.
- You can treat any results from lecture or homework as "known," and use them in your work without rederiving them, but do make clear what result you're using. You do not need to explicitly check regularity conditions for the theorems from class that required them.
- For a multi-part problem, you may treat the results of previous parts as given (if you don't prove the result for part (a), you can still use it to solve part (b)).
- I have starred some parts which I believe are the most difficult, and which I expect most students won't necessarily be able to solve in the time allotted. They are generally not worth more points than the less difficult parts, so don't waste too much time on them until you're happy with your answers to the latter.
- Be careful to justify your reasoning and answers. We are primarily interested in your understanding of concepts, so show us what you know.

Good luck!

1. Six Gaussians (20 points, 5 points / part).

Some useful facts for this problem:

• Recall that the Gaussian density function for $Z \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ is

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}
$$

Assume that we observe Gaussian random variables X_1, \ldots, X_6 where $X_i \sim$ $N(\theta_i, \sigma^2)$, independently. Different parts of the question will assume $\sigma^2 > 0$ is known or unknown.

(a) Assume it is known that $\sigma^2 = 1$. Suppose we want to test the null hypothesis:

$$
H_0: \theta_2 = \theta_3 \text{ and } \theta_4 = \theta_5 = \theta_6,
$$

against the alternative that θ is any other vector in \mathbb{R}^6 . Suggest a χ^2 test statistic and specify the degrees of freedom.

Solution:

The null is that θ lies in a 3-dimensional linear subspace Θ . The appropriate χ^2 statistic is $\|\Pi_{\Theta}^{\perp}X\|^2$, based on the projection of X onto the 3-dimensional orthogonal complement. We can calculate this by computing the residual sum of squares for the projection onto the model space:

$$
RSS = ||X - (X_1, \overline{X}_{23}, \overline{X}_{23}, \overline{X}_{456}, \overline{X}_{456}, \overline{X}_{456})||^2.
$$

Alternatively, we can define the sample variances

$$
S_{23}^2 = \sum_{i=2}^3 (X_i - \overline{X}_{23})^2 \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} \chi_1^2,
$$

and

$$
S_{456}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=4}^{6} (X_i - \overline{X}_{456})^2 \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} \frac{1}{2} \chi_2^2,
$$

which are independent. Then RSS = $S_{23}^2 + 2S_{456}^2$, which is a χ_3^2 random variable under H_0 .

Note: a common mistake on the exam was to claim that $X_5 - X_4$ and $X_6 - X_5$ are independent. Their correlation is 0.5.

(b) Continue to assume $\sigma^2 = 1$ and consider the following estimator for θ :

$$
\delta(X) = \gamma \cdot \left(X_1, \overline{X}_{23}, \overline{X}_{23}, \overline{X}_{456}, \overline{X}_{456}, \overline{X}_{456}\right),
$$

where $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ is a fixed constant, $\overline{X}_{23} = \frac{X_2 + X_3}{2}$, and $\overline{X}_{456} = \frac{X_4 + X_5 + X_6}{3}$. Give an unbiased estimator for the MSE of $\delta(X)$.

Solution:

We have $\delta(X) = X - h(X)$, where

$$
h_1(X) = (1 - \gamma)X_1
$$

\n
$$
h_2(X) = X_2 - \gamma \overline{X}_{23} = (1 - \frac{\gamma}{2})X_2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}X_3
$$

\n
$$
h_4(X) = X_4 - \gamma \overline{X}_{456} = (1 - \frac{\gamma}{3})X_4 - \frac{\gamma}{3}(X_5 + X_6),
$$

and the other h values are defined similarly.

Stein's unbiased risk estimator is

$$
\hat{R} = 6 + ||h(X)||^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} h_i(X)
$$

= 6 + ||X - \delta(X)||^2 - 2(1 - \gamma + 2(1 - \gamma/2) + 3(1 - \gamma/3))
= 6(\gamma - 1) + ||X - \delta(X)||^2.

The previous expression was enough to get full credit, but we can obtain a more explicit expression using orthogonality of $\delta(X) = \gamma \Pi_{\Theta} X$ and $X - \delta(X)/\gamma =$ $\Pi_{\Theta}^{\perp}X$, leading to

$$
\hat{R} = 6(\gamma - 1) + ||\delta(X) - \Pi_{\Theta}X||^{2} + ||\Pi_{\Theta}^{\perp}X||^{2}
$$

= 6(\gamma - 1) + (\gamma - 1)^{2}(X_{1}^{2} + 2\overline{X}_{23}^{2} + 3\overline{X}_{456}^{2}) + RSS.

(c) Now, assume that σ^2 is unknown, but it *is* known that $\theta_2 = \theta_3$ and $\theta_4 = \theta_5 =$ θ_6 . That is, what in part (a) was a null hypothesis to be tested is now a modeling assumption. Suggest a confidence interval based on the Student's t-distribution for the parameter $g(\theta) = \theta_4 - \theta_3$. Specify the degrees of freedom.

Solution:

Let $\lambda = g(\theta)$. The unbiased estimator for λ is

$$
\hat{\lambda} = \overline{X}_{23} - \overline{X}_{456} \sim N\left(\lambda, \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{3}\right) = N(\lambda, 5\sigma^2/6).
$$

Meanwhile, our RSS from before is now a $\sigma^2 \chi_3^2$ random variable, so to test the point null H_0 : $\lambda = \lambda_0$, we can use the *t*-statistic

$$
T = \frac{(\hat{\lambda} - \lambda_0)/\sqrt{5\sigma^2/6}}{\sqrt{\text{RSS}/3\sigma^2}} = \frac{\hat{\lambda} - \lambda_0}{\sqrt{5\text{RSS}/18}} \stackrel{\lambda = \lambda_0}{\sim} t_3.
$$

Inverting this test leads to the symmetric confidence interval

$$
\hat{\lambda} \pm t_3(\alpha/2) \cdot \sqrt{5\text{RSS}/18}.
$$

(d) Under the same assumptions as part (b), now suppose that we want to test the null hypothesis $H_0: \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \cdots = \theta_6$ against the alternative that θ is any other vector in \mathbb{R}^6 with $\theta_2 = \theta_3$ and $\theta_4 = \theta_5 = \theta_6$. Suggest an F test statistic and specify the degrees of freedom.

Solution:

Now in addition to the RSS from the model space Θ we have the residual sum of squares from the null model, which is $\text{RSS}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} (X_i - \overline{X})^2$, where $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{6}\sum_i X_i$. The null model has $d_0 = 1$ degree of freedom and the full model has $d = 3$ degrees of freedom. Hence $d - d_0 = 2$ and $n - d = 3$, and the F statistic is

$$
\frac{\text{(RSS}_0 - \text{RSS})/2}{\text{RSS}/3} \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} F_{2,3}.
$$

2. Inverse gamma prior (20 points, 5 points / part). Some useful facts for this problem:

- A χ_d^2 random variable has mean d and variance 2d.
- If Y is a Gamma (α, β) random variable (in its "rate parameterization") then it has density

$$
\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y^{\alpha - 1} \exp\{-\beta y\},\
$$

on $(0, \infty)$. Y has mean α/β and variance α/β^2 . This distribution is defined for $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

• The inverse-gamma distribution (denoted $IG(\alpha, \beta)$) is the distribution of $W = 1/Y$ where $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $W \in (0, \infty)$ has the density

$$
\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} w^{-\alpha-1} \exp\{-\beta/w\}.
$$

Note that β is a scale parameter for W. W has mean $\frac{\beta}{\alpha-1}$ provided $\alpha >$ 1, and variance $\frac{\beta-1}{(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)}$ provided $\alpha > 2$. This distribution is likewise defined for $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

• Define the *squared relative error* loss function

$$
L_{rel}(d, \theta) = \left(\frac{d-\theta}{\theta}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{d}{\theta} - 1\right)^2,
$$

and define the corresponding risk function $R_{rel}(\delta(\cdot), \theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[L_{rel}(\delta(X), \theta)].$

Consider the Bayesian model with

$$
\theta \sim IG(\alpha, \beta),
$$

$$
X_1, \ldots, X_n \mid \theta \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, \theta)
$$

Note that the variance is θ , not θ^2 , and assume $n \geq 2$.

(a) Find the posterior distribution of θ given $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ and the Bayes estimator for θ under the standard (not relative) squared error loss.

Solution:

The prior times the likelihood, ignoring factors that do not depend on θ , is

$$
p(\theta \mid X) \propto_{\theta} \theta^{-\alpha - 1} \exp\{-\beta/\theta\} \cdot (2\pi\theta)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}}{2\theta}\right\}
$$

$$
\propto_{\theta} \theta^{-(\alpha + n/2) - 1} \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta + ||X||^{2}/2}{\theta}\right\}
$$

$$
\propto_{\theta} IG(\alpha + n/2, \beta + ||X||^{2}/2).
$$

The posterior expectation, then, is

$$
\mathbb{E}[\theta \mid X] = \frac{\beta + \|X\|^2/2}{\alpha + n/2 - 1} = \frac{2\beta + \|X\|^2}{2(\alpha - 1) + n}.
$$

(b) Find the Bayes estimator for θ under the squared relative error loss L_{rel} .

Solution:

Let $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + n/2$ and $\tilde{\beta} = \beta + ||X||^2/2$. We want to solve \mathbf{r}

$$
\min_{d} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{d}{\theta} - 1\right)^2 \mid X\right],
$$

over $d \in (0, \infty)$. Expanding the square, we get

$$
\min_{d} d^{2} \mathbb{E}[\theta^{-2} | X] - 2d \mathbb{E}[\theta^{-1} | X] + 1,
$$

leading to the solution

$$
d^* = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\theta^{-1} \mid X]}{\mathbb{E}[\theta^{-2} \mid X]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\theta^{-1} \mid X]}{\mathbb{E}[\theta^{-1} \mid X]^2 + \text{Var}(\theta^{-1} \mid X)}.
$$

Plugging in $\tilde{\alpha}/\tilde{\beta}$ for the expectation and $\tilde{\alpha}/\tilde{\beta}^2$ for the variance, we obtain

$$
\delta(X) = \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha} + 1} = \frac{2\beta + ||X||^2}{2(\alpha + 1) + n}.
$$

(c) (*) For the estimator in part (b), find the risk function $R_{rel}(\theta; \delta(X))$ as a function of θ and show that the Bayes risk is $\frac{2}{n+2(\alpha+1)}$.

Solution:

The risk of an estimator $\delta(X) = a(||X||^2 + b)$, for any constants a, b, is

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\left(\frac{\delta(X)}{\theta} - 1\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\frac{\delta(X)}{\theta} - 1\right]^2 + \text{Var}_{\theta}(\delta(X)/\theta)
$$

$$
= (a(n + b/\theta) - 1)^2 + 2a^2n,
$$

using the fact that $Y = ||X||^2/\theta \sim \chi_n^2$ has mean n and variance $2n$. Plugging in $a = \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)}$ $\frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)+n}$ and $b=2\beta$, we obtain

$$
R(\theta) = \frac{4(\beta/\theta - \alpha - 1)^2 + 2n}{(2(\alpha + 1) + n)^2}
$$

To obtain the Bayes risk, note that in our IG prior β/θ has mean and variance equal to α . Hence,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\beta/\theta-\alpha-1\right)^2\right]=1+\alpha,
$$

and so the Bayes risk is

$$
\mathbb{E}R(\theta) = \frac{4(\alpha+1)+2n}{(2(\alpha+1)+n)^2} = \frac{2}{n+2(\alpha+1)}.
$$

(d) For the relative squared error risk, find a linear estimator of the form $\delta(X)$ = $a \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2$ that is minimax, and prove it is minimax.

Solution:

Plugging in $b = 0$ to our formula from the previous part, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\left(\frac{a\|X\|^2}{\theta}-1\right)^2\right]=(an-1)^2+2a^2n,
$$

which is constant in θ and optimized at $a^* = \frac{1}{n+2}$ giving optimal risk $\frac{2}{n+2}$ for the estimator $||X||^2/(n+2)$.

Moreover, the Bayes risk from the previous part gives a lower bound of $\frac{2}{n+2(\alpha+1)}$ on the minimax risk, for every $\alpha > 0$. Taking $\alpha \to 0$ we obtain a leastfavorable prior sequence, showing that $\frac{2}{n+2}$ is indeed the minimax risk and $\|X\|^2/(n+2)$ is indeed minimax.

3. Social network model (20 points, 5 points / part).

Some useful facts you may assume are true for this problem:

- An *undirected graph* is a set of vertices V and a set of edges E connecting pairs of vertices. Assume (without loss of generality) that the edges are labeled 1 to m (so $V = \{1, \ldots, m\}$) and each edge is represented by a pair of vertices (i, j) with $1 \leq i < j \leq m$; that is, vertices i and j are connected to each other if $(i, j) \in E$, in which case we say the edge (i, j) is present.
- The binomial distribution Binom (n, θ) with parameter $\theta \in (0, 1)$ has probability mass function

$$
p_{\theta}(x) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X = x) = {n \choose x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n - x}, \quad \text{ for } x \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}.
$$

Its mean and variance are

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[X] = n\theta, \quad \text{Var}_{\theta}(X) = n\theta(1-\theta).
$$

The binomial distribution arises when a coin lands heads with probability θ , and we flip it n times. Then, the number of heads we see is a Binom (n, θ) random variable.

We will consider a model where the set V of vertices is fixed but the set E of edges is random, governed by parameters that we are interested in. Define $X_{i,j} \in$ $\{0, 1\}$ as a binary indicator that (i, j) is present. Assume that for each pair (i, j) , $X_{i,j} \sim \text{Bern}(\pi_{i,j})$, for $\pi_{i,j} \in (0,1)$, and the $X_{i,j}$ values are independent.

Assume this model represents a social network, where each vertex represents an individual student in a school and an edge represents a friendship relation between two students; students i and j are friends if $(i, j) \in E$.

In addition, assume each student belongs to a group $g(i) \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$. Students in the same group may have a higher chance of forming friendships than students in different groups. We assume that it is known which group each student belongs to.

(a) Suppose that $\pi_{i,j} = \alpha + \beta \mathbb{1}{g(i) = g(j)}$, for unknown parameters $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $\beta \in [0, 1 - \alpha]$ (note β is non-negative). Let

$$
T_w = \sum_{i < j: \ g(i) = g(j)} X_{i,j},
$$

the total number of friendships between pairs of students within the same group, and let

$$
T_b = \sum_{i < j \colon g(i) \neq g(j)} X_{i,j},
$$

the total number of friendships between pairs of students in different groups. Show that (T_w, T_b) is a complete sufficient statistic for this model.

Solution:

The likelihood is

$$
\prod_{i < j \colon g(i) \neq g(j)} \alpha^{X_{i,j}} (1 - \alpha)^{1 - X_{i,j}} \cdot \prod_{i < j \colon g(i) = g(j)} (\alpha + \beta)^{X_{i,j}} (1 - \alpha - \beta)^{1 - X_{i,j}},
$$

which simplifies into

$$
\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^{T_b} (1-\alpha)^{N_b} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\alpha-\beta}\right)^{T_w} (1-\alpha-\beta)^{N_w},
$$

where $N_b = |\{i \le j : g(i) \ne g(j)\}|$ and $N_w = |\{i \le j : g(i) = g(j)\}|$ are the total number of possible edges between and within groups. The natural parameter $\eta = (\log \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \log \frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\alpha-\beta})$ can take values in an open set, so the family is full rank and (T_w, T_b) is complete sufficient.

(b) Find the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\alpha}$, β .

Solution:

Start by making the sufficiency reduction to $T_w \sim \text{Binom}(N_w, \alpha + \beta)$ and $T_b \sim \text{Binom}(N_b, \alpha)$, independently. We want to maximize the concave loglikelihood subject to the constraint $\beta \geq 0$.

The unconstrained solution sets the expectations of T_w and T_b equal to their realized values, giving $\hat{\alpha} = T_b/N_b$ and $\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} = T_w/N_w$, so $\hat{\beta} = T_w/N_w$ – T_b/N_b .

If the unconstrained solution satisfies the constraint, it is also the constrained solution. Otherwise the constrained solution is at the boundary $\beta = 0$, corresponding to the one-parameter model where all edges have probability α . That model has MLE $\hat{\alpha} = (T_b + T_w)/(N_b + N_w)$ (and $\beta = 0$).

(c) (*) Now (for this part only) assume $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is known. Does there exist an admissible unbiased estimator for β , for the squared error loss?

Solution:

There is no admissible unbiased estimator. If α is known then T_b is ancillary and we can make a further reduction to $T_w \sim \text{Binom}(N_w, \alpha + \beta)$, where α is known and $\beta \geq 0$. The UMVU estimator $T_w/N_w - \alpha$ can be negative, so it is dominated by $(T_w/N_w - \alpha)_+$ which has smaller loss almost surely. If the UMVUE is inadmissible then so is any other unbiased estimator.

(d) (*) Now assume that the β parameter possibly varies by group. That is, $\pi_{i,j} =$ $\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k 1\{g(i) = g(j) = k\}$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_K \in [0, 1-\alpha]$ are all unknown.

Assume we want to test the hypothesis H_0 : $\beta_1 = \ldots = \beta_K = 0$ against the alternative H_1 : $\max_k \beta_k > 0$. Assume we have an estimator $\tilde{\beta}^*(X)$ for the parameter $\beta^* = \max_k \beta_k$, and we want to will use a test that rejects for large values of $\hat{\beta}^*(X)$. How could we carry out an exact (finite-sample) test using $\hat{\beta}^*$ as the test statistic? You do not need to give an explicit formula for the threshold, but explain how you would calculate it either in words or pseudocode.

Solution:

Under H_0 , all edges have the same chance of occurring, so $T_+ = T_w + T_b$ is complete sufficient and the conditional distribution given $T_+ = t$ is uniform over all configurations with exactly t total edges. Sampling from this conditional distribution is equivalent to permuting the $\binom{m}{2}$ total $\overline{X}_{i,j}$ values.

To be more precise, we can generate B graphs by placing t edges uniformly at random and calculate $\hat{\theta}^{*b}$ based on the *b*th such graph. The exact permutation *p*-value is $\frac{1}{1+B} \sum_b 1 \{ \hat{\theta}^{*b} \ge \hat{\theta}^*(X) \}.$

4. Estimation in the Geometric model (25 points, 5 points / part).

Some useful facts for this problem:

• The geometric distribution Geom (θ) with parameter $\theta \in (0, 1)$ has probability mass function

$$
p_{\theta}(x) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X = x) = (1 - \theta)^{x} \theta
$$
, for $x \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$.

Its mean and variance are

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[X] = \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}, \quad \text{Var}_{\theta}(X) = \frac{1-\theta}{\theta^2}.
$$

The geometric distribution arises when a coin lands heads with probability θ , and we flip it repeatedly until it lands heads. Then, the number of tails we see before the first heads is a $Geom(\theta)$ random variable.

Assume throughout this problem that we observe $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Geom}(\theta)$.

(a) Find a minimal sufficient statistic for the distribution. Is it complete?

Solution:

The likelihood can be written as $e^{\sum_i x_i \log(1-\theta) + n \log \theta}$, a one-parameter exponential family with natural parameter $\eta = \log(1-\theta)$ that ranges over $(-\infty, 0)$. The family is full-rank, so $\sum_i X_i$ (or equivalently $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i$) is a complete sufficient statistic and therefore also minimal sufficient.

(b) Let $\hat{\theta}(X)$ denote the maximum likelihood estimator for θ , where $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Give an explicit formula.

Solution:

The MLE in an exponential family solves $\overline{X} = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \overline{X} = \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}$ $\frac{-\theta}{\theta}$. Solving the equation gives $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$ $\frac{1}{1+\overline{X}}$.

(c) Show that $\hat{\theta}(X)$ is consistent and use it to construct a Wald confidence interval for θ . Give an explicit formula.

Solution:

By the law of large numbers, we have $\overline{X} \stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} > 0$, and $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}$ is continuous for $x > 0$. Hence, we have

$$
\hat{\theta}(X) = f(\overline{X}) \xrightarrow{p} f\left(\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\right) = \theta,
$$

by the continuous mapping theorem.

The score is $\dot{\ell}(\theta; \overline{X}) = n \left(-\frac{\overline{X}}{1-\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta}\right)$ $\frac{1}{\theta}$, and its variance is $J_n(\theta) = \frac{n \text{Var}_{\theta}(X_1)}{(1 - \theta)^2} = \frac{n}{(1 - \theta)^2}$ $\frac{\partial}{(1-\theta)\theta^2}$

If we estimate J_n by $\hat{J}_n = \frac{n}{(1-\hat{\theta})\hat{\theta}^2}$, then we obtain the Wald confidence interval

$$
\hat{\theta} \pm \hat{J}_n^{-1/2} z_{\alpha/2} = \hat{\theta} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(1-\hat{\theta})\hat{\theta}^2}{n}} z_{\alpha/2}
$$

.

(d) Define the tail probability $\tau_k(\theta) = (1 - \theta)^k$ to be the probability that a single observation is at least k . That is,

$$
\tau_k(\theta) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_1 \ge k) = (1 - \theta)^k.
$$

Give the asymptotic distribution for the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\tau}_k(X) =$ $\tau_k(\hat{\theta}(X))$ when k is fixed and $n \to \infty$ (appropriately centered and scaled).

Solution:

We use delta method here for the function $\tau_k(\theta) = (1 - \theta)^k$. Because $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ θ) \Rightarrow $N(0, \theta^2(1-\theta))$, we have

$$
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\tau}_k - \tau_k) \Rightarrow N(0, \dot{\tau}_k(\theta)^2 \theta^2 (1 - \theta)) = N(0, k^2 (1 - \theta)^{2k - 1} \theta^2)
$$

(e) (*) Assume that $n = 4$, and $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = (0, 3, 4, 2)$. Evaluate the UMVU estimator for $\tau_{10}(\theta)$ on the given data set. Your answer should be a number, and you should justify how you calculated it.

Solution:

An unbiased estimator would be $1\{X_1 \geq 10\}$, so Rao-Blackwellizing it gives the UMVU estimator

$$
\mathbb{P}[X_1 \geq 10 \mid \sum_i X_i],
$$

which is exactly zero in this case, since we must have $X_1 \le \sum_i X_i = 9$.